UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,798	12/12/2003	Rema Ananthanarayanan	JP920030082US1	3886
29154 7590 09/11/2008 FREDERICK W. GIBB, III				IINER
Gibb & Rahmar	n, LLC	VEZERIS, JAMES A		
2568-A RIVA ROAD SUITE 304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,798	ANANTHANARAYANAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES A. VEZERIS	3693	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 .</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-14 and 17 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-14 and 17 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3693

Detailed Action

Pre-Exam Formalities

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, and 17 are currently pending in the application.
- 2. Claims 5, 11, 15, and 16 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, and 17 are amended

Claim Objections

4. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: "As recited 13" should read "As recited in claim 13". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-4 and 6 of the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In order for a method to be considered a "process" under §101, a claimed process must either: (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials). *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972). If neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not a patent eligible process under §101 and is non-statutory subject

matter. While claims 1-4 and 6 recite an online market, the online market is being defined as code per-se and therefore does not overcome the non-statutory rejection.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12-14, and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US PG-Pub 2002/0120588 A1 to Preist et al. (Hereinafter "Preist") Regarding Claims 1, 6, 7, 12, and 17.

Preist teaches trading in an online market, the online market comprising a user and a plurality of trading parties, the method comprising:

specifying, by a user, initial requirements for initiating trading among trading parties in said online market;

executing a multi-party trading mechanism to arrive at trading offers, the trading offers being submitted by the trading parties based on the initial requirements of the user;

wherein the multi-party trading mechanism comprises one of a continuous double auction, a call market, an ascending price auction, a descending price auction, a

Art Unit: 3693

first price sealed bid auction, a uniform second price auction, and a reverse auction conducted by the user and the trading parties;

selecting a first trading offer from the trading offers of said multi-party trading mechanism;

invoking standalone bilateral negotiations, which stand apart from the multi-party trading mechanism, to arrive at customized trading offers, the standalone bilateral negotiations being invoked with the trading parties who submitted trading offers, said invoking further comprising:

agreeing upon a protocol for conducting the standalone negotiations; exchanging offers as per the agreed upon protocol; and concluding the standalone negotiations as per the agreed upon protocol;

repeating said executing of said multi-party trading mechanism, and either said selecting of said trading offers of said multi-party trading mechanism or said invoking said standalone bilateral negotiations to obtain either attractive and feasible trading offers from the multi-party trading mechanism or said customized trading offers from the standalone bilateral negotiations, respectively;

evaluating the attractive and feasible offers from the multi-party trading mechanism or the customized trading offers from the standalone bilateral negotiations by any of a utility function based on multiple attributes of a traded good or a traded service, a user-specified weights associated with the traded good or the traded service, and a user-specified costs associated with the multiple attributes of the traded good or the traded service; and

Art Unit: 3693

concluding trading deals based on evaluated attractive and feasible offers from the multi-party trading mechanism or the customized trading offers from the standalone bilateral negotiations, whereby said multi-party trading mechanism and said standalone bilateral negotiations are combined.

(See Preist Paragraphs 55-63)

Regarding Claims 2, 9, and 13.

Preist further teaches the invoking the standalone bilateral negotiations comprises:

agreeing upon a protocol for conducting the standalone negotiations;
exchanging offers as per the agreed upon protocol; and
concluding the standalone negotiations as per the agreed upon protocol. (See
Preist Paragraphs 55-63)

Regarding Claims 3, 10, and 14.

Preist further teaches the exchanging offers comprises:

receiving offers from the trading parties;

evaluating the received offers;

generating counter-offers on a basis of evaluated offers;

sending counter-offers to the trading parties; and

repeating said receiving, said evaluating, said generating and said sending in accordance with the agreed upon protocol. (See Preist Paragraphs 55-63)

Regarding Claim 4.

Preist further teaches the online market is a regulated online market, the online

Art Unit: 3693

market being regulated to increase trading efficiency of the online market, the trading efficiency of the online market being governed by a number of trading parties that strike a trading deal. (Paragraphs 6 an 7)

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preist in view of US PG-Pub 2001/0032175 A1 to Holden et al. (Hereinafter "Holden").

Regarding Claim 8.

Preist teaches executing a trading mechanism further comprises:

a repository containing information related to the initial requirements of trading parties; (See Preist Paragraphs 55-63)

Priest fails to teach a repository containing information related to past trading

Art Unit: 3693

deals; and

a repository containing information related to the trading parties.

Holden teaches:

a repository containing information related to past trading deals; (See Holden

Claim 14)

a repository containing information related to the trading parties. (See Holden

Paragraph 37)

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to combine Holden and Preist.

There is motivation to do so because Preist relies on past trading deals and information relating to the parties which can be provided by Holden allowing Preist to make more accurate, and efficient negotiations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES A. VEZERIS whose telephone number is (571)270-1580. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-alt. Fridays 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A. Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693 /JAMES A VEZERIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3693

9/4/2008